

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.SCEOC.ORG

843-488-6040

843-488-6700

843-358-8002

ND

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

Our School

Mathematics

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2002	Average	Average	N/A
2003 2004	Average	Below Average	No

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

English/Language Arts

39.8 30.1 32.0 35.3 36.4 44.4 13.8 45.2 15.0

Mathematics

Middle Schools with Students like Ours

English/Language Arts

Advanced Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	38	169	93
Percent satisfied with learning environment	73.7%	69.2%	78.3%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	78.4%	75.9%	61.5%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	55.3%	88.1%	73.3%

Conway Middle								2601017
PACT PERFORMANCE	BY GR							cient and certain cert
	/	rt 15 ting	lested olo Br	Casic /	Basic of	Proficient of	Advanced on Profi	cient and
	olly	te de	reste / s	OHL	Basic	Profile /	Advor .of	chauc
	Ento	ayd Testing	0/08	/			, 0/06/	cient ance
All at all at				igiisii/Lai				
All students Gender	538	99.4	32.0	47.3	17.7	3.1	20.8	17.6
Male	272	98.9	34.4	48.5	14.9	2.1	17.0	17.6
Female	272 266	100.0	29.3	46.2	20.5	4.0	24.5	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group	200	100.0	29.3	40.2	20.5	4.0	24.5	17.0
White	284	99.3	17.6	50.8	26.3	5.3	31.7	17.6
African-American	240	99.6	48.4	44.2	6.9	0.5	7.4	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	2 - 2	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	11	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status		100.0	14//1	14/73	14// (14// (14// (17.0
Not disabled	444	99.3	28.4	47.0	21.0	3.6	24.6	17.6
Disabled	94	100.0	51.3	48.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Migrant Status	0-7	. 50.0	01.0	.0.1	. 1// 1	. 1// 1	. ,,, ,	
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	538	99.4	31.8	47.3	17.8	3.1	20.8	17.6
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	5	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	533	99.4	31.1	47.7	18.0	3.1	21.2	17.6
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	361	99.4	42.1	44.9	12.7	0.3	13.0	17.6
Full-pay meals	177	99.4	13.3	51.4	27.2	8.1	35.3	17.6
					matics			
All students	538	99.8	30.1	39.8	18.7	11.4	30.1	15.5
Gender		00.0	00.0	00.0	40.0	46.0	010	/
Male	272	99.6	29.3	38.8	18.2	13.6	31.8	15.5
Female	266	100.0	30.9	40.6	19.3	9.2	28.5	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group White	204	99.6	13.7	42.6	24.7	10.0	43.7	15.5
white African-American	284	100.0	49.8	36.9	11.5	19.0 1.8	13.4	15.5
Amcan-American Asian/Pacific Islander	240	100.0	49.6 N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	2							
American Indian/Alaskan	11	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Not disabled	444	99.8	26.7	39.9	20.2	13.2	33.4	15.5
Disabled	94	100.0	48.7	39.5	10.5	1.3	11.8	15.5
Migrant Status	34	100.0	70.1	00.0	10.0	1.0	11.0	10.0
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	538	99.8	30.1	39.7	18.7	11.4	30.1	15.5
English Proficiency	555	55.5	33.1	33				10.0
Limited English proficient	5	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	533	99.8	29.4	40.0	19.0	11.6	30.6	15.5
Socio-Economic Status								
Cubaidized mode	261	100.0	40.4	20.5	15.0	5.4	21.1	15.5

40.4

11.6

100.0

99.4

15.8

24.3

38.5

41.6

21.1

46.8

5.4

22.5

15.5

15.5

Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

triding of testics olo Profese Harden de de la companya olo Balom Basic olo Proficient o/o Advanced olo Tested olo Basic English/Language Arts Grade 3 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 5 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 6 45.0 19.3 141 N/A 35.7 13.6 5.7 Grade 7 171 55.7 N/A 24.6 17.4 2.4 19.8 Grade 8 162 N/A 29.2 46.0 24.8 N/A 24.8 Grade 3 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 5 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 6 202 99.5 32.0 37.6 27.1 3.3 30.4 Grade 7 150 100.0 42.4 14.4 5.0 19.4 38.1 Grade 8 186 98.9 26.9 61.4 1.2 11.7 10.5

						_		
				IVI	athematio	S		
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
20	Grade 6	141	N/A	32.9	44.3	17.9	5.0	22.9
	Grade 7	171	N/A	34.7	40.1	15.6	9.6	25.1
•	Grade 8	162	N/A	34.2	49.7	13.0	3.1	16.1
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
20	Grade 6	202	100.0	22.5	33.0	26.9	17.6	44.5
	Grade 7	150	100.0	35.3	38.1	15.8	10.8	26.6
	Grade 8	186	99.5	33.9	48.5	12.3	5.3	17.5

SCHOOL PROFILE

(Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 561)				
Students enrolled in high school credi courses (grades 7 & 8)	15.7%	Down from 16.8%	12.1%	14.4%
Retention rate	3.9%	No change	3.3%	2.3%
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	96.0%	Down from 96.1%	95.0%	95.2%
	15.8%	Up from 12.1%	12.4%	13.6%
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	16.0%	No change	15.0%	14.1%
	3.6%	Down from 5.3%	5.0%	4.9%
Suspended or expelled	2.3%	Down from 8.3%	1.2%	1.3%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 40)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	42.5%	Up from 41.0%	45.7%	47.1%
Continuing contract teachers	87.5%	Up from 84.6%	79.3%	82.5%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	86.6%	Down from 89.5%	82.2%	84.3%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	94.9%	Down from 95.4%	94.9%	95.0%
	\$41,184	Up 2.3%	\$38,722	\$39,924
Prof. development days/teacher	11.3 days	Up from 11.2 days	10.2 days	10.7 days
School				
Principal's years at school	5.0	Up from 4.0	3.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	20.2 to 1	Down from 21.3 to 1	20.8 to 1	21.0 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	90.3%	Down from 91.2%	88.4%	88.9%
	\$6,532	Up 7.6%	\$5,984	\$5,854
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	58.6%	Up from 55.9%	63.2%	62.0%
	Excellent	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	82.4%	Up from 73.1%	93.1%	94.8%
	yes	N/A	yes	yes

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

			• •	
Δhhr	eviation	e tor N	liccina	I lata

N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample
--------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------------

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Conway Middle School is proud of its accomplishments, and we continue to develop our students academically, athletically, artistically, and socially. In addition to teaching content area standards, all teachers employ Writing and Math Across the Curriculum, reference and research techniques, and technology. CMS supports athletics with a strong B-squad program in football, basketball, and cheerleading. Our students also participate in several of the sports programs offered at our local high school. We have a variety of school-sponsored functions, active clubs, and after- school activities.

CMS is proud of the involvement and commitment of parents in their child's educational process. A Student-Parent-Teacher Compact was signed by 98% of our parents and students affirming their responsibility in the learning process. Personalized Academic Plan conferences were attended by 81% of our parents/guardians again reaffirming this commitment. CMS faculty members held two Family PACT nights, which focused on academic achievement and PACT test information and strategies. The principal participated in several community forums to discuss and disseminate pertinent information about our schools.

CMS continues to face challenges this year as we analyze PACT and MAP scores and disaggregated test data. We must strive to increase levels of student achievement to address our disadvantaged students, a majority whom scored "below basic" on the PACT test. Through special funding allocations, we will maintain a teaching staff/pupil ratio comparable to previous years. Classroom discipline and referrals still indicate that improved behavior and better social skills are needed. Surveys also indicate that improved student behavior and better social skills are needed. CMS will continue to incorporate character education in the curriculum, develop uniform classroom procedures to improve overall discipline, and introduce the children to positive role models throughout the school year.

Conway Middle School appreciates its outstanding PTO and School Improvement Council. The PTO raises funds that support our student incentive program. Students are rewarded for academics, behavior, and attendance. Conway Middle School is proud of its focus on "building character through school and community." We will continue to forge ahead in a collaborative effort to ensure that our students are being prepared to successfully compete in a global society.

Genar F. Faulk, Principal

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.